

## AN IMPROVED CHEN-RICCI INEQUALITY FOR LEGENDRIAN SUBMANIFOLDS IN SASAKIAN SPACE FORMS

ION MIHAI AND IOANA N. RĂDULESCU

ABSTRACT. B.Y. Chen [Arch. Math. (Basel), **74**(2000), 154-160] proved a geometrical inequality for Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms in terms of the Ricci curvature and the squared mean curvature. Recently, this Chen-Ricci inequality was improved in [Int. Electron. J. Geom., **2**(2009), 39-45]. On the other hand, the first author [J. Austral. Math. Soc., **72**(2002), 247-256] established a Chen-Ricci inequality for submanifolds, in particular  $C$ -totally real submanifolds, in Sasakian space forms. In this article, we improve the above inequality for Legendrian submanifolds in Sasakian space forms. We also investigate the equality case of the inequality.

### 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\widetilde{M}^{2m+1}$  be a  $(2m+1)$ -differentiable manifold. The triple  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  on  $\widetilde{M}^{2m+1}$  is called a  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ -structure if it satisfies

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi,$$

where  $\phi$  is an endomorphism of the tangent bundle,  $\xi$  is a vector field,  $\eta$  is a 1-form and  $I$  is the identity tensor.

We recall that  $\phi\xi = 0$  and  $\eta \circ \phi = 0$ .

If the manifold  $\widetilde{M}^{2m+1}$  with a  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ -structure admits a Riemannian metric  $g$  such that

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y$ , then  $\widetilde{M}^{2m+1}$  has a  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ -almost contact metric structure. For more details see [1].

A  $(2m+1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(\widetilde{M}^{2m+1}, g)$  is said to be a *Sasakian manifold* if it admits an almost contact metric structure, which is normal, i.e.,

$$(\widetilde{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X, \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -\phi X,$$

---

Received: December 20, 2010. Revised: July 26, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53C40, 53C25, 53D15.

*Key words and phrases*: Legendrian submanifold,  $C$ -totally real submanifold, Sasakian space form, Ricci curvature.

for any vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $T\widetilde{M}^{2m+1}$ , where  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  denotes the Riemannian connection with respect to  $g$ .

A plane section  $\pi$  in  $T_p\widetilde{M}^{2m+1}$  is called a  $\phi$ -section if it is spanned by  $X$  and  $\phi X$ , where  $X$  is a unit tangent vector orthogonal to  $\xi$ . The sectional curvature of a  $\phi$ -section is called a  $\phi$ -sectional curvature.

A Sasakian manifold with constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature  $c$  is said to be a *Sasakian space form* and is denoted by  $\widetilde{M}^{2m+1}(c)$ .

As examples of Sasakian space forms we have  $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$  and  $S^{2m+1}$ , with standard Sasakian structures (see more details in [1], [12]).

The curvature tensor of a Sasakian space form  $\widetilde{M}^{2m+1}(c)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= \frac{c+3}{4}\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \\ &\quad + \frac{c-1}{4}\{g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z\} + \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  on  $\widetilde{M}^{2m+1}(c)$ .

A submanifold  $M^n$  of a Sasakian manifold  $\widetilde{M}^{2m+1}$  normal to  $\xi$  is called a *C-totally real* submanifold.

On such a submanifold,  $\phi$  maps any tangent vector to  $M^n$  at  $p \in M^n$  into the normal space  $T_p^\perp M^n$  (see [11]).

In particular, if  $n = m$ , i.e.,  $M^n$  has the maximum dimension, then  $M^n$  is said to be a *Legendrian* submanifold.

We denote by  $K(\pi)$  the sectional curvature of  $M$  associated with a plane section  $\pi \subset T_p M$ ,  $p \in M$ , by  $h$  the second fundamental form and by  $R$  the Riemann curvature tensor of  $M$ .

Then the Gauss equation is given by:

$$\widetilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = R(X, Y, Z, W) - g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)) + g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z)),$$

for any vectors  $X, Y, Z, W$  tangent to  $M^n$ .

Let  $p \in M^n$  and  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space  $T_p M$ . We denote by  $H$  the *mean curvature vector*, i.e.,

$$H(p) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(e_i, e_i)$$

and by

$$\|h\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g(h(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j))$$

the squared norm of the second fundamental form.

For a Legendrian submanifold  $M^n$  we may choose an orthonormal basis of  $T_p^\perp M^n$  of the form  $\{e_{n+1} = \phi e_1, \dots, e_{2n} = \phi e_n, e_{2n+1} = \xi\}$ . One has

$$A_{\phi X}Y = A_{\phi Y}X, \quad \forall X, Y \in T_p M,$$

or equivalently,

$$h_{ij}^k = h_{ik}^j = h_{jk}^i, \quad \forall i, j, k = 1, \dots, n, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $A$  is the shape operator and

$$h_{ij}^k = g(h(e_i, e_j), \phi e_k), \quad i, j, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

**Definition 1.1.** A Legendrian  $H$ -umbilical submanifold  $M^n$  of a Sasakian manifold  $\widetilde{M}^{2n+1}$  is a Legendrian submanifold for which the second fundamental form takes the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} h(e_1, e_1) &= \lambda \phi e_1, & h(e_2, e_2) &= \dots = h(e_n, e_n) = \mu \phi e_1, \\ h(e_1, e_j) &= \mu \phi e_j, & h(e_j, e_k) &= 0, \quad 2 \leq j \neq k \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

## 2. RICCI CURVATURE OF SUBMANIFOLDS

In [2], B.Y. Chen established a sharp relationship between the Ricci curvature (an intrinsic invariant) and the squared mean curvature (the main extrinsic invariant) for any  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian submanifold of a real space form  $\widetilde{M}(c)$  of constant sectional curvature  $c$ ; namely,

$$Ric(X) \leq (n - 1)c + \frac{n^2}{4} \|H\|^2,$$

which is known as the Chen-Ricci inequality.

The same inequality holds for Lagrangian submanifolds  $M^n$  in a complex space form  $\widetilde{M}^n(4c)$  as well (see [3]).

The first author proved in [10] a similar inequality for submanifolds of Sasakian space forms. Moreover K. Matsumoto and the first author [8] investigated the corresponding inequality for  $C$ -totally real submanifolds and characterized such submanifolds which satisfy identically the equality case.

The Chen-Ricci inequality was further improved by S. Deng [7] for Lagrangian submanifolds to the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $M^n$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$  in a complex space form  $\widetilde{M}^n(4c)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c$  and  $X$  a unit tangent vector in  $T_p M$ ,  $p \in M$ . Then, we have:*

$$Ric(X) \leq (n - 1) \left( c + \frac{n}{4} \|H\|^2 \right).$$

*The equality sign holds for any unit tangent vector at  $p$  if and only if either:*

- (i)  $p$  is a totally geodesic point, or
- (ii)  $n = 2$  and  $p$  is an  $H$ -umbilical point with  $\lambda = 3\mu$ .

Moreover, Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms satisfying the equality case of the inequality were determined by S. Deng in [7]. More precisely, he proved the following.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $M^n$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of real dimension  $n \geq 2$  in a complex space form  $\widetilde{M}^n(4c)$ . If*

$$\text{Ric}(X) = (n-1) \left( c + \frac{n}{4} \|H\|^2 \right),$$

for any unit tangent vector  $X$  of  $M^n$ , then either

- (i)  $M^n$  is a totally geodesic submanifold in  $\widetilde{M}^n(4c)$  or
- (ii)  $n = 2$  and  $M^2$  is a Lagrangian  $H$ -umbilical surface of  $\widetilde{M}^2(4c)$  with  $\lambda = 3\mu$ .

### 3. RICCI CURVATURE OF LEGENDRIAN SUBMANIFOLDS

K. Matsumoto and the first author [8] studied the Ricci tensor of a  $C$ -totally real submanifold in a Sasakian space form. They estimated the mean curvature of such submanifolds in terms of the Ricci tensor. Moreover they investigated the equality case of the inequality.

Denoting by  $\mathcal{R}$  the maximum of the Ricci curvature of a  $C$ -totally real submanifold  $M^n$  in a Sasakian space form  $\widetilde{M}^{2m+1}(c)$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{R}(p) = \max\{\text{Ric}(u) \mid u \in T_p M^n, g(u, u) = 1\}, \quad p \in M^n,$$

it follows that

$$\mathcal{R} \leq \frac{1}{4} \{n^2 \|H\|^2 + (n-1)(c+3)\}.$$

If a Legendrian submanifold  $M^n$  of a Sasakian space form  $\widetilde{M}^{2n+1}(c)$  satisfies identically the equality case, then it is a minimal submanifold.

We want to point-out that the Riemannian invariant  $\mathcal{R}$  is the Chen invariant  $\delta(n-1)$  (see [4]).

In this section, we obtain a corresponding inequality to that in Theorem 2.1 for Legendrian submanifolds in Sasakian space forms, which improves the inequality in [8]. Moreover, we remark that the equality case of the improved inequality for the invariant  $\mathcal{R}$  does not imply the minimality of the Legendrian submanifold.

We shall use the following two Lemmas from [7].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be a function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by the formula*

$$f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \sum_{j=2}^n x_j - \sum_{j=2}^n x_j^2.$$

If  $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = 2na$ , we have

$$f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq \frac{n-1}{4n} (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)^2.$$

The equality sign holds if and only if  $\frac{1}{n+1}x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = a$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $f_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be a function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by the formula

$$f_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \sum_{j=2}^n x_j - x_1^2.$$

If  $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = 4a$ , we have

$$f_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq \frac{1}{8} (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)^2.$$

The equality sign holds if and only if  $x_1 = a, x_2 + \dots + x_n = 3a$ .

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Legendrian submanifold in a Sasakian space form  $\widetilde{M}^n(c)$  of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature  $c$ . Then, for any unit tangent vector  $X$  to  $M^n$ , we have

$$Ric(X) \leq \frac{n-1}{4} (c + 3 + n\|H\|^2). \tag{3.1}$$

The equality sign of (3.1) holds identically if and only if either:

- (i)  $M^n$  is totally geodesic, or
- (ii)  $n = 2$  and  $M^2$  is an  $H$ -umbilical Legendrian surface with  $\lambda = 3\mu$ .

*Proof.* We fix a point  $p \in M^n$  and a unit vector  $X \in T_p M^n$ . We choose an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1 = X, e_2, \dots, e_n\} \subset T_p M^n$  and

$$\{e_{n+1} = \phi e_1, \dots, e_{2n} = \phi e_n, e_{2n+1} = \xi\} \subset T_p^\perp M^n.$$

For  $j = 2, \dots, n$  the Gauss equation gives

$$\widetilde{R}(e_1, e_j, e_1, e_j) = R(e_1, e_j, e_1, e_j) - g(h(e_1, e_1), h(e_j, e_j)) + g(h(e_1, e_j), h(e_1, e_j)),$$

or equivalently,

$$\widetilde{R}(e_1, e_j, e_1, e_j) = R(e_1, e_j, e_1, e_j) - \sum_{r=1}^n (h_{11}^r h_{jj}^r - (h_{1j}^r)^2), \quad \forall j \in \overline{2, n}.$$

Since the Riemannian curvature tensor  $\widetilde{R}$  on  $M^n$  is given by (see (1.1))

$$\widetilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = \frac{c+3}{4} \{g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(X, W)g(Y, Z)\},$$

we find

$$\widetilde{R}(e_1, e_j, e_1, e_j) = \frac{c+3}{4}. \tag{3.2}$$

By summing after  $j = \overline{2, n}$ , we get

$$(n-1) \frac{c+3}{4} = Ric(X) - \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n [h_{11}^r h_{jj}^r - (h_{1j}^r)^2].$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(X) - (n-1)\frac{c+3}{4} &= \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n [h_{11}^r h_{jj}^r - (h_{1j}^r)^2] \\ &\leq \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n h_{11}^r h_{jj}^r - \sum_{j=2}^n (h_{1j}^1)^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n (h_{jj}^1)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Since  $M^n$  is a Legendrian submanifold, we have the relations (1.2) and

$$Ric(X) - (n-1)\frac{c+3}{4} \leq \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n h_{11}^r h_{jj}^r - \sum_{j=2}^n (h_{11}^j)^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n (h_{jj}^1)^2. \quad (3.4)$$

Now we put

$$f_1(h_{11}^1, h_{22}^1, \dots, h_{nn}^1) = h_{11}^1 \sum_{j=2}^n h_{jj}^1 - \sum_{j=2}^n (h_{jj}^1)^2$$

and

$$f_r(h_{11}^r, h_{22}^r, \dots, h_{nn}^r) = h_{11}^r \sum_{j=2}^n h_{jj}^r - (h_{11}^r)^2, \quad \forall r \in \overline{2, n}.$$

Since  $nH^1 = h_{11}^1 + h_{22}^1 + \dots + h_{nn}^1$ , we obtain by using Lemma 3.1 that

$$f_1(h_{11}^1, h_{22}^1, \dots, h_{nn}^1) \leq \frac{n-1}{4n} (nH^1)^2 = \frac{n(n-1)}{4} (H^1)^2. \quad (3.5)$$

By applying Lemma 3.2 for  $2 \leq r \leq n$ , we get

$$f_r(h_{11}^r, h_{22}^r, \dots, h_{nn}^r) \leq \frac{1}{8} (nH^r)^2 = \frac{n^2}{8} (H^r)^2 \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{4} (H^r)^2. \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$Ric(X) - (n-1)\frac{c+3}{4} \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{4} \sum_{r=1}^n (H^r)^2 = \frac{n(n-1)}{4} \|H\|^2.$$

Thus we have

$$Ric(X) \leq (n-1)\frac{c+3}{4} + \frac{n(n-1)}{4} \|H\|^2,$$

which implies (3.1).

Next we shall study the equality case. For  $n \geq 3$ , we choose  $\phi e_1$  parallel to  $H$  and we have  $H^r = 0$ , for  $r \geq 2$ ; from Lemma 3.2, we get

$$h_{1j}^1 = h_{11}^j = \frac{nH^j}{4} = 0, \quad \forall j \geq 2,$$

and

$$h_{jk}^1 = 0, \quad \forall j, k \geq 2, j \neq k.$$

From Lemma 3.1, we have  $h_{11}^1 = (n+1)a$  and  $h_{jj}^1 = a$ ,  $\forall j \geq 2$ , with  $a = \frac{H^1}{2}$ .

In (3.3) we computed  $Ric(X) = Ric(e_1)$ . Similarly, by computing  $Ric(e_2)$  and using the equality, we get

$$h_{2j}^r = h_{jr}^2 = 0, \quad \forall r \neq 2, \quad j \neq 2, \quad r \neq j.$$

Then we obtain

$$\frac{h_{11}^2}{n+1} = h_{22}^2 = \dots = h_{nn}^2 = \frac{H^2}{2} = 0.$$

The argument is also true for matrices  $(h_{jk}^r)$  because the equality holds for all unit tangent vectors; so,  $h_{2j}^2 = h_{22}^j = \frac{H^j}{2} = 0, \quad \forall j \geq 3$ .

The matrix  $(h_{jk}^2)$  (respectively the matrix  $(h_{jk}^r)$ ) has only two possible nonzero entries  $h_{12}^2 = h_{21}^2 = h_{22}^1 = \frac{H^1}{2}$  (respectively  $h_{1r}^r = h_{r1}^r = h_{rr}^1 = \frac{H^1}{2} \forall r \geq 3$ ). Now, by the Gauss equation we obtain

$$\tilde{R}(e_2, e_j, e_2, e_j) = R(e_2, e_j, e_2, e_j) - \left(\frac{H^1}{2}\right)^2, \quad \forall j \geq 3.$$

Similarly we get

$$\tilde{R}(e_2, e_1, e_2, e_1) = R(e_2, e_1, e_2, e_1) - (n+1) \left(\frac{H^1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{H^1}{2}\right)^2.$$

After combining the last two relations, we find

$$Ric(e_2) - (n-1) \frac{c+3}{4} = 2(n-1) \left(\frac{H^1}{2}\right)^2.$$

On the other hand, the equality case of (3.1) implies that

$$Ric(e_2) - (n-1) \frac{c+3}{4} = \frac{n(n-1)}{4} \|H\|^2 = n(n-1) \left(\frac{H^1}{2}\right)^2.$$

Since  $n \neq 1, 2$ , by equating the last 2 equations we find  $H^1 = 0$ . Thus,  $(h_{jk}^r)$  are all zero, i.e.,  $M^n$  is a totally geodesic submanifold in  $\widetilde{M}^{2n+1}(c)$ .

Now, let us assume that  $n = 2$ . If  $M^2$  is not totally geodesic, one has

$$h(e_1, e_1) = \lambda e_3, \quad h(e_2, e_2) = \mu e_3, \quad h(e_1, e_2) = \mu e_4,$$

with  $\lambda = 3\mu = \frac{3H^1}{2}$ , i.e.,  $M$  is  $H$ -umbilical. □

Finally, we shall give an example of a Legendrian submanifold which admits a vector field satisfying the equality case of (3.1).

Let  $S^3$  be the 3-dimensional sphere and  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  the vector fields which parallelize  $S^3$ . We consider the Riemannian metric  $g_1$  on  $S^3$  defined by:

$$g_1(X_1, X_1) = g_1(X_2, X_2) = \frac{1}{3}g_1(X_3, X_3) = 3;$$

$$g_1(X_i, X_j) = 0, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3.$$

An orthonormal frame is  $E_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}X_1$ ,  $E_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}X_2$ ,  $E_3 = \frac{1}{3}X_3$ . A straightforward computation gives  $Ric(E_1) = Ric(E_2) = -\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $Ric(E_3) = 2$ .

It is known (see [5]) that  $S^3$  admits a non-totally geodesic minimal Legendrian immersion in  $S^7$ .

Clearly  $E_3$  satisfies the equality case of the inequality (3.1).

**Acknowledgements.** The second author's work was supported by the grant POSDRU/6/1.5/S.12, cofinanced by the European Social Found within the Sectorial Operational Program Human Resources Development 2007-2013.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Birkhäuser, 2002.
- [2] B. Y. Chen, *Relations between Ricci curvature and shape operator for submanifolds with arbitrary codimensions*, Glasgow Math. J., **41**(1999), 33-44.
- [3] B. Y. Chen, *On Ricci curvature of isotropic and Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **74**(2000), 154-160.
- [4] B. Y. Chen, *Some new obstructions to minimal and Lagrangian isometric immersions*, Japan. J. Math., **26**(2000), 1-17.
- [5] B. Y. Chen, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen, L. Vrancken, *An exotic totally real minimal immersion of  $S^3$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  and its characterisation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **126**(1996), 153-165.
- [6] B. Y. Chen, K. Ogiue, *On totally real submanifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **193**(1974), 257-266.
- [7] S. Deng, *An improved Chen-Ricci inequality*, Int. Electron. J. Geom., **2**(2009), 39-45.
- [8] K. Matsumoto and I. Mihai, *Ricci tensor of C-totally real submanifolds in Sasakian space forms*, Nihonkai Math. J., **13**(2002), 191-198.
- [9] K. Matsumoto, I. Mihai, A. Oiağă, *Ricci curvature of submanifolds in complex space forms*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures. Appl., **46**(2001), 775-782.
- [10] I. Mihai, *Ricci curvature of submanifolds in Sasakian space forms*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **72**(2002), 247-256.
- [11] K. Yano and M. Kon, *Anti-invariant Submanifolds*, M. Dekker, New York, 1976.
- [12] K. Yano and M. Kon, *Structures on Manifolds*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.

*University of Bucharest*  
*Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Str. Academiei, No. 14, 010014 Bucharest, Romania*  
*E-mail address: imihai@fmi.unibuc.ro*

*University of Bucharest*  
*Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Str. Academiei, No. 14, 010014 Bucharest, Romania*  
*E-mail address: ioanatoma1982@yahoo.com*